

Linear Algebra

Jack Betteridge

24/3/13

Axioms for Number Systems

Let S be a number system $(S, +, \times)$

Axioms for Addition:

A1: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in S \quad \alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha$

A2: $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S \quad (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma = \alpha + (\beta + \gamma)$

A3: $\exists 0 \in S \text{ s.t. } \forall \alpha \in S \quad 0 + \alpha = \alpha + 0 = \alpha$

A4: $\forall \alpha \in S \exists (-\alpha) \in S \text{ s.t. } \alpha + (-\alpha) = (-\alpha) + \alpha = 0$

Axioms for Multiplication:

M1: $\forall \alpha, \beta \in S \quad \alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$

M2: $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S \quad (\alpha\beta)\gamma = \alpha(\beta\gamma)$

M3: $\exists 1 \in S \text{ s.t. } \forall \alpha \in S \quad 1\alpha = \alpha 1 = \alpha$

M4: $\forall \alpha \in S^* \exists \alpha^{-1} \in S \text{ s.t. } \alpha\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}\alpha = 1$

Distributivity:

D1: $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in S \quad (\alpha + \beta)\gamma = \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma$

Defⁿ. A set S with addition & multiplication satisfying A1-A4, M1-M4 & D1 is a field if $1 \neq 0$.

Vector Spaces

Defⁿ. A vector space over a field K is a set V with addition & scalar multiplication, so $\forall \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \in V \exists \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \in V$ & $\forall \alpha \in K \forall \mathbf{v} \in V \exists \alpha\mathbf{v} \in V$

i) Addition Satisfies A1-A4	} ⇒	Deductions:
ii) $\alpha(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \alpha\mathbf{w}$		i) $\alpha\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \forall \alpha \in K$
iii) $(\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{v} = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{v}$		ii) $0\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0} \forall \mathbf{v} \in V$
iv) $(\alpha\beta)\mathbf{v} = \alpha(\beta\mathbf{v})$		iii) $-(\alpha\mathbf{v}) = (-\alpha)\mathbf{v} = \alpha(-\mathbf{v}) \forall \alpha \in K \forall \mathbf{v} \in V$
v) $1\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \forall \mathbf{v} \in V$		iv) If $\alpha\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ then $\alpha = 0$ or $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$

Linear Independence, Spanning & Bases of Vector Spaces

Def^m. Let V be a vector space over K , $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V$ the vectors are linearly independent if $\exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in K$ not all 0 s.t. $\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}$, otherwise linearly dependent.

Lemma. If $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are linearly dependent, either $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ or \mathbf{v}_r is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{r-1}$.

Pf. Trivial QED

Def^m. $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ span V if $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V \exists \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ s.t. $\alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{v}$

Def^m. If $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ span V & are linearly independent they form a basis of V .

Def^m. The unique scalars that determine any given $\mathbf{v} \in V$ are called the coordinates of \mathbf{v} .

Th^m. The Basis Theorem: Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m$ & $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n$ are both bases of the vector space V , then $m = n$.

Def^m. The number of vectors n in a basis of the finite dimensional vector space V is called the dimension: $\dim(V) = n$.

Sifting: Given $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r \in V$ successively look at $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r$ keep \mathbf{v}_i unless $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$ or \mathbf{v}_i is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{i-1}$.

Lemma. If $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{w}$ span V & \mathbf{w} is a linear combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ then $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ span V .

Pf. $\mathbf{w} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n$ now substitute \mathbf{w} QED

Th^m. Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ span V then \exists subsequence of vectors, a basis of V .

Pf. Sift $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ QED

Th^m. Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ are linearly independent in V . We can extend this to a basis of V .

Pf. Add $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$ & sift out \mathbf{w} 's. QED

Propⁿ. The Exchange Lemma: Suppose $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ span V & $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m$ are linearly independent in V then $m \leq n$.

Pf. Place \mathbf{w}_1 in front of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ & sift removing at least one vector. now repeat for \mathbf{w}_i removing at least one vector each time. Hence $m \leq n$. QED

Cor^{ly}. If n vectors form a basis of V then $n - 1$ vectors cannot span V & $n + 1$ vectors cannot be independent.

Pf. Of The Basis Theorem: Since \mathbf{v}_i 's span V & \mathbf{w}_j 's are linearly independent $n \leq m$ by Exchange Lemma. Since \mathbf{w}_j 's span V & \mathbf{v}_i 's are linearly independent $m \leq n$ by Exchange Lemma. Hence $n = m$. QED

Subspaces

Def^m. A subspace of V is a non-empty subset $W \subset V$ s.t. W is closed under addition & scalar multiplication. i.e: $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in W \alpha, \beta \in K \implies \alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{v} \in W$

Propⁿ. If W_1 & W_2 are subspaces of V then so is $W_1 \cap W_2$

Pf. Trivial QED

Note. $W_1 \cup W_2$ not necessarily a subspace.

Def^m. Let W_1 & W_2 be subspaces of V then $W_1 + W_2$ is defined to be $\mathbf{v} \in V$ s.t. $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$ for some $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$ & $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2$ or $W_1 + W_2 := \{\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 : \mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1 \mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2\}$

Propⁿ. $W_1 + W_2$ is the smallest subspace to contain W_1 & W_2 .

Pf. Any subspace of V containing W_1 & W_2 must contain $W_1 + W_2$ QED

At this point we drop bold face notation for vectors

Th^m. If V is a finite dimensional vector space & W_1, W_2 subspaces of V then:

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$$

Pf. Let $\dim(W_1 + W_2) = r$ & e_1, \dots, e_r be a basis of $W_1 \cap W_2$. Extend this to $e_1, \dots, e_r, f_1, \dots, f_s$ to be a basis of W_1 s.t. $\dim(W_1) = r + s$. Also extend to $e_1, \dots, e_r, g_1, \dots, g_t$ to be a basis of W_2 s.t. $\dim(W_2) = r + t$

$$\forall w_1 \in W_1, w_1 = \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r + \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s$$

$$\forall w_2 \in W_2, w_2 = \gamma_1 e_1 + \dots + \gamma_r e_r + \delta_1 g_1 + \dots + \delta_t g_t$$

hence $w_1 + w_2 = (\alpha_1 + \gamma_1)e_1 + \dots + (\alpha_r + \gamma_r)e_r + \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s + \delta_1 g_1 + \dots + \delta_t g_t \in W_1 + W_2$ so e_i, f_j, g_k span $W_1 + W_2$

$$\text{Suppose: } \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r + \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s + \gamma_1 g_1 + \dots + \gamma_t g_t = 0$$

then $\alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r + \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s = -\gamma_1 g_1 - \dots - \gamma_t g_t$, s.t. $LHS \in W_1, RHS \in W_2$

\implies both $\in W_1 \cap W_2$ with basis e_i .

$$\text{Now } -\gamma_1 g_1 - \dots - \gamma_t g_t = \delta_1 e_1 + \dots + \delta_r e_r \text{ i.e. } \delta_1 e_1 + \dots + \delta_r e_r + \gamma_1 g_1 + \dots + \gamma_t g_t = 0$$

e_i, g_k basis of $W_2 \implies$ all δ 's & γ 's = 0 leaving $\alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_r e_r + \beta_1 f_1 + \dots + \beta_s f_s = 0$

e_i, f_j basis of $W_1 \implies$ all α 's & β 's = 0 so e_i, f_j, g_k are linearly independent, hence e_i, f_j, g_k are a basis of $W_1 + W_2$, hence

$$\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) - \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$$

QED

Propⁿ. $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ all linear combinations form a subspace of V .

Pf. Trivial

QED

Defⁿ. W_1, W_2 subspaces of V are complementary if $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ & $W_1 \cup W_2 = V$.

Propⁿ. W_1, W_2 subspaces of V, W_1 & W_2 are complementary $\iff v \in V$ can be written uniquely as $v = w_1 + w_2$ where $w_1 \in W_1$ & $w_2 \in W_2$.

Pf. “ \implies ” Suppose W_1, W_2 complementary then $W_1 + W_2 = V$ so can find $w_1 \in W_1$ & $w_2 \in W_2$ s.t. $v = w_1 + w_2$ suppose $w'_1 \in W_1$ & $w'_2 \in W_2$ s.t. $v = w'_1 + w'_2$ now $w_1 + w_2 = w'_1 + w'_2, w_1 - w'_1 = w'_2 - w_2$ $LHS \in W_1$ $RHS \in W_2$ hence both $\in W_1 \cap W_2$ but $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\}$ hence $w_1 = w'_1$ & $w_2 = w'_2$.

“ \impliedby ” Suppose every $v \in V$ can be uniquely written $v = w_1 + w_2$, with $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2$ (Obv.) $W_1 + W_2 = V$. If $0 \neq v \in W_1 \cap W_2$ then $v = v + 0, v \in W_1, v = 0 + v, v \in W_2$. Hence $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{0\} \implies W_1, W_2$ complementary. QED

Linear Transformations

Defⁿ. Let U & V be vector spaces over K , a linear transformation or linear map T from U to V is a function $T : U \rightarrow V$ s.t. $T(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) = \alpha T(u_1) + \beta T(u_2) \forall u_1, u_2 \in U$ & $\forall \alpha, \beta \in K$

Lemma. i) $T(0_U) = 0_V$

$$\text{ii) } T(-u) = -T(u)$$

Pf. i) $T(0_U) = T(0_U + 0_U) = T(0_U) + T(0_U) \implies T(0_U) = 0_V$

$$\text{ii) } T((-1)u) = (-1)T(u)$$

QED

Propⁿ. Let U, V be vector spaces over $K, u_1, \dots, u_n \in U$ basis $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$ then $\exists! T$ s.t. $T : U \rightarrow V$ linear map with $T(u_i) = v_i$.

Pf. Let $u \in U$ then $u = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n$ so $T(u) = T(\alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_n u_n) = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n = v \in V$ hence T is uniquely determined. QED

Def^m. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. The image of T $\text{Im}(T)$ is the set of vectors $v \in V$ s.t. $v = T(u)$ for some $u \in U$. The kernel of T $\text{ker}(T)$ is the set of vectors $u \in U$ s.t. $T(u) = 0_V$.

$$\text{Im}(T) := \{T(u) : u \in U\}, \quad \text{ker}(T) := \{u \in U : T(u) = 0_V\}$$

Propⁿ. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map, then $\text{Im}(T)$ is a subspace of V & $\text{ker}(T)$ is a subspace of U .

Pf. $\alpha v_1 + \beta v_2 = \alpha T(u_1) + \beta T(u_2) = T(\alpha u_1) + T(\beta u_2) = T(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) \in \text{Im}(T)$ for $u_1, u_2 \in U$ & $\alpha, \beta \in K$
 $T(\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2) = T(\alpha u_1) + T(\beta u_2) = \alpha T(u_1) + \beta T(u_2) = \alpha 0_V + \beta 0_V = 0_V$ where $\alpha u_1 + \beta u_2 \in \text{ker}(T)$
 QED

Def^m. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map $\dim(\text{Im}(T))$ is called the rank, $\dim(\text{ker}(T))$ is called the nullity.

Th^m. Rank-Nullity Theorem: Let U, V be vector spaces over K with U finite dimensional. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Then

$$\text{rank}(T) + \text{null}(T) = \dim(U)$$

Pf. Since $\text{ker}(T)$ is a subspace of U (Both finite dimensional). Let $\text{null}(T) = s$ & e_1, \dots, e_s be a basis of $\text{ker}(T)$. Now extend to a basis of U : $e_1, \dots, e_s, f_1, \dots, f_r$. Now $\dim(U) = s+r$. $T(e_1), \dots, T(e_s), T(f_1), \dots, T(f_r)$ span $\text{Im}(T)$ & since $T(e_1), \dots, T(e_s)$ all $= 0_V$ then $T(f_1), \dots, T(f_r)$ span $\text{Im}(T)$.

Suppose $\alpha_1 T(f_1) + \dots + \alpha_r T(f_r) = 0_V$ then $T(\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_r f_r) = 0_V$ so $\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_r f_r \in \text{ker}(T)$ but e_1, \dots, e_s is a basis of $\text{ker}(T)$ hence $\exists \beta_j \in K$ s.t. $\alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_r f_r = \beta_1 e_1 + \dots + \beta_s e_s \implies \alpha_1 f_1 + \dots + \alpha_r f_r - \beta_1 e_1 - \dots - \beta_s e_s = 0_U$ but $e_1, \dots, e_s, f_1, \dots, f_r$ is a basis of U hence $\alpha_i, \beta_j = 0 \forall i \implies f_1, \dots, f_r$ linearly independent, hence f_1, \dots, f_r is a basis of $\text{Im}(T)$ hence $\text{rank}(T) + \text{null}(T) = r + s = \dim(U)$

QED

Cor^{ly}. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map where $\dim(U) = \dim(V) = n$. Then the following properties of T are equivalent:

1. T is surjective.
2. $\text{rank}(T) = n$
3. $\text{null}(T) = 0$
4. T is injective.
5. T is bijective.

Pf. (i) $\implies \text{Im}(T) = V \implies \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V) = n \implies$ (ii)

(ii) $\implies \text{Im}(T)$ subspace of V dimension $n \implies \text{Im}(T) = V \implies$ (i)

(ii) $\implies \dim(U) = n = \text{rank}(T) + \text{null}(T) \implies \text{null}(T) = 0 \implies$ (iii)

(iii) $\implies \text{ker}(T) = \{0_V\} \implies T(u_1) = T(u_2) \implies T(u_1 - u_2) = 0_V \implies u_1 - u_2 \in \text{ker}(T) = \{0_V\} \implies u_1 = u_2 \implies$ (iv)

(iv) \implies (iii)

finally (i)&(iv) \iff (v)

QED

Def^m. If the above is satisfied then T is called non-singular, otherwise singular.

Def^m. Addition & Scalar multiplication of linear maps: Let $T_1 : U \rightarrow V$ & $T_2 : U \rightarrow V$ then define $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2 : U \rightarrow V$ to be $(\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2)(u) = \alpha T_1(u) + \beta T_2(u) \forall \alpha, \beta \in K \forall u \in U$

Def^m. Composition of linear maps: Let $T_1 : U \rightarrow V$ & $T_2 : V \rightarrow W$ then define $T_2 \circ T_1 : U \rightarrow W$ to be $(T_2 \circ T_1)(u) = T_2(T_1(u)) \forall u \in U$

Linear Transformations & Matrices

Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map, where $\dim(U) = n$ & $\dim(V) = m$, & e_1, \dots, e_n is a basis of U & f_1, \dots, f_m a basis V . Now

$$\begin{aligned} T(e_1) &= \alpha_{11}f_1 + \alpha_{21}f_2 + \dots + \alpha_{m1}f_m \\ T(e_2) &= \alpha_{12}f_1 + \alpha_{22}f_2 + \dots + \alpha_{m2}f_m \\ &\vdots \\ T(e_n) &= \alpha_{1n}f_1 + \alpha_{2n}f_2 + \dots + \alpha_{mn}f_m \end{aligned}$$

with $\alpha_{ij} \in K$ (1)

or $T(e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij}f_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ & $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is the matrix of the linear map T . This can be written $[T]_f^e = A$

Th^m. Let U, V be vector spaces over K of dimensions n, m respectively. For a given choice of bases of U & V there is a one to one correspondence between the set $\text{Hom}_K(U, V)$ of linear maps $U \rightarrow V$ & the set $K^{m \times n}$ of $m \times n$ matrices over K .

Pf. Use the above formulation QED

Propⁿ. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ represent T wrt given bases of U & V . Then $T(u) = v \iff Au = v$ for $u \in U$ & $v \in V$.

Pf. $T(u) = T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j e_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j T(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} f_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \lambda_j\right)}_{=*} f_i = \sum_{i=1}^m \underbrace{\mu_i}_{*=} f_i$ QED

Propⁿ. Let $T_1, T_2 : U \rightarrow V$ be linear maps & A & B the respective matrices (& wrt the same bases). Then $\alpha T_1 + \beta T_2$ has matrix $\alpha A + \beta B$.

Pf. Trivial QED

Th^m. Let $T_1 : U \rightarrow W$ be a linear map with $\ell \times m$ matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ & let $T_2 : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map with $m \times n$ matrix $B = (\beta_{ij})$. Then the composite map $T_1 \circ T_2$ has matrix AB .

Pf. Similar to *Pf.* of $T(u) = v \iff Au = v$ but $T_1(T_2(u)) = ABu$ QED

Elementary Operations & Rank of a Matrix

Elementary Row Operations:

(R1) For $i \neq j$ add a multiple of r_j to r_i (r_i, r_j are rows).

(R2) Interchange two rows.

(R3) Multiply a row by a non-zero scalar.

Def^m. A matrix satisfying:

- i) All zero rows below all non-zero rows.
- ii) Let r_1, \dots, r_s be the non-zero rows, then all r_i has a 1 as its first entry.
- iii) The first non-zero entry of each row is strictly to the right of the first non-zero entry of the row above.
- iv) If row i is non-zero all entries below the first non-zero element are zero.

is said to be in upper echelon form.

Def^m. A matrix in upper echelon form satisfying:

- v) If row i is non-zero then all entries above and below the first non-zero element are zero.

is said to be in row reduced form.

Th^m. Every matrix can be brought to row reduced form by elementary row operations.

Pf. Algorithm:

- 1) If α_{ij} & all entries below are zero move one place to the right $(i, j + 1)$ & goto (1) unless $j = n$
- 2) If $\alpha_{ij} = 0$ but not all entries below are, apply (R2) to exchange rows.
- 3) If $\alpha_{ij} \neq 1$ apply (R3) using α_{ij}^{-1} .
- 4) Now apply (R1) *s.t.* all entries above & below that every entry are zero.
- 5) Move down one & right one $(i + 1, j + 1)$ unless $i = m$ or $j = n$. QED

Elementary Column Operations:

(C1) For $i \neq j$ add a multiple of c_j to c_i (c_i, c_j are columns).

(C2) Interchange two columns.

(C3) Multiply a column by a non-zero scalar.

Th^m. By applying elementary row & column operations a matrix can be brought into the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} I_s & 0_{s,n-s} \\ \hline 0_{m-s,s} & 0_{m-s,n-s} \end{array} \right).$$

Pf. Row reduce & use column operations. QED

Def^m. A matrix in the above format is said to be in Smith normal form.

Lemma. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map & e_1, \dots, e_n a basis of U then the rank of T is the largest independent subset of $T(e_1), \dots, T(e_n)$.

Def^m. 1) The row space of A is the subspace of K^n spanned by the rows of A . The row rank is the dimension of the row space.

- 2) The column space of A is the subspace of K^n spanned by the columns of A . The column rank is the dimension of the column space.

Th^m. Suppose the linear map T has matrix A then $\text{rank}(T) = \text{column rank}(A)$

Pf. Use above lemma. QED

Th^m. Applying elementary row operations of elementary column operations does not change the row & column rank.

Pf. Obviousness. QED

Cor^{ly}. The number of non-zero rows in the Smith normal form of a matrix A is equal to both the row & column rank.

Pf. Elementary row & column operations don't change row or column ranks so:

$$\text{row rank}(A) = \text{row rank}(\text{Smith normal form of } A) = s = \text{column rank}(\text{Smith normal form of } A) = \text{column rank}(A)$$

QED

Cor^{ly}. The rank of a matrix A is equal to the number of rows that are non-zero in upper echelon form

Pf. Non-zero rows in upper echelon form are linearly independent. QED

Th^m. Let A be the augmented $n \times (m + 1)$ matrix of a linear system. Let B be the matrix obtained from A by removing the last column. The system of equations have a solution $\iff \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$

The inverse of a Linear Transformation & of a Matrix

Def^m. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation with corresponding matrix A ($m \times n$). If $\exists T^{-1} : V \rightarrow U$ with $TT^{-1} = I_V$ & $T^{-1}T = I_U$ then T is said to be invertible & T^{-1} is called the inverse.
If so, A^{-1} is the ($n \times m$) matrix & $AA^{-1} = I_m$ & $A^{-1}A = I_n$, then A is said to be invertible & A^{-1} is called the inverse.

Lemma. Let A be a matrix of a linear map T . T is invertible $\iff A$ is invertible. T^{-1} & A^{-1} are unique.

Pf. Bijection between matrices & linear maps. QED

Th^m. A linear map T is invertible $\iff T$ is non-singular. In particular if T is invertible then $m = n$ so only square matrices are invertible.

Pf. Map required to be injective so is inverse, hence bijection etc. QED

Propⁿ. The row reduced form of an invertible $n \times n$ matrix A is I_n

Elementary matrices:

- $E(n)_{\lambda, i, j}^1$ ($i \neq j$) $n \times n$ matrix like the identity but with a non-zero entry λ in the $(i, j)^{th}$ position.
- $E(n)_{i, j}^2$ Like the $n \times n$ identity with i^{th} & j^{th} rows interchanged.
- $E(n)_{\lambda, i}^3$ ($\lambda \neq 0$) like the $n \times n$ identity λ in the $(i, i)^{th}$ position.

Th^m. An invertible matrix is a product of elementary matrices.

Th^m. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix:

- i) The homogeneous system $ax = 0$ has a non-trivial solution $\iff A$ is singular.
- ii) The system $Ax = b$ has a unique solution $\iff A$ is non-singular.

Pf. i) The solution is nullspace(A) if T corresponds to A nullspace(T) = ker(T) = $\{0\}$ \iff null(T) = $0 \iff T$ non-singular gives no solutions hence require A singular.

- ii) If A singular then its nullity > 0 so nullspace(A) $\neq \{0\} \implies$ no solutions OR solutions are $x + \text{nullspace}(A)$ hence not unique.

QED

The Determinant of a Matrix

Def^m. A permutation ϕ is said to be even if it is a composition of an even number of transpositions & sign(ϕ) = $+1$ & odd if a composition of an odd number of transpositions & sign(ϕ) = -1 .

Def^m. The determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is the scalar quantity

$$\underline{\det(A)} := \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)}$$

Th^m. Elementary row operations affect the determinant as follows:

- i) $\det(I_n) = 1$.
- ii) Applying (R2) changes the determinants sign.
- iii) If A has two equal rows $\det(A) = 0$.
- iv) Applying (R1) does not change the determinant.
- v) Applying (R3) multiplies the determinant by λ .

- Pf.* i) $\alpha_{ij} = 0 \forall i \neq j$ so only identity permutation is non-zero $\det(I) = \alpha_{11} \cdots \alpha_{nn} = 1$.
- ii) $\det(B) = \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \beta_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \beta_{n\phi(n)}$ let $\varphi = \phi \circ (i, j)$ now $\text{sign}(\varphi) = -\text{sign}(\phi)$ hence $= \sum_{\varphi \in S_n} -\text{sign}(\varphi) \alpha_{1\varphi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\varphi(n)} = -\det(A)$.
- iii) Use part (ii) & swap rows that are the same now $\det(A) = -\det(A) \implies \det(A) = 0$.
- iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B) &= \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots (\alpha_{i\phi(i)} + \lambda \alpha_{j\phi(j)}) \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} + \lambda \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{j\phi(j)} \alpha_{j\phi(j)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} \end{aligned}$$

Second term = 0 since $\alpha_{j\phi(j)}$ repeated is the same as two equal rows.

v) $\det(B) = \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \lambda \alpha_{i\phi(i)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} = \lambda \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} = \lambda \det(A)$ QED

Def^m. A matrix is upper triangular if all entries below the leading diagonal are zero.

Cor^{ly}. The determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries.

Def^m. Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix. Define the transpose A^T of A to be the $n \times m$ matrix $(\beta_{ij}) = (\alpha_{ji})$.

Th^m. Let $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, then $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.

Pf.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A^T) &= \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \beta_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \beta_{n\phi(n)} \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{\phi(1)1} \cdots \alpha_{\phi(n)n} \\ &= \sum_{\phi \in S_n} \text{sign}(\phi) \alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} \\ &= \det(A) \end{aligned}$$

QED

Cor^{ly}. Elementary column operations affect the determinant as row operations do.

Th^m. An $n \times n$ matrix A has $\det(A) = 0 \iff A$ is singular.

Pf. Row reduce A to obtain A' , $\det(A) = 0 \iff \det(A') = 0$, A' is upper triangular hence $\det(A) = 0 \iff$ one diagonal entry is 0 $\iff A'$ has a zero row $\iff \text{row rank}(A) < n \iff A$ is singular.

Lemma. If E is an elementary matrix & B any matrix both $n \times n$ then $\det(EB) = \det(E) \det(B)$.

Pf. $\det(E^1) = 1$, $\det(E^2) = -1$, $\det(E^3) = \lambda$ & consider row operations. QED

Th^m. For any two $n \times n$ matrices A & B : $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

Pf. $\det(AB) = \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \cdots E_n B) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_n) \det(B) = \det(E_1 \cdots E_n) \det(B) = \det(A) \det(B)$ QED

Def^m. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix. Let A_{ij} be the matrix $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ obtained by eliminating the i^{th} row & j^{th} column of A . $M_{ij} = \det(A_{ij})$ is called the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ minor of A .

Def^m. $c_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij})$ is called the $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$ cofactor of A .

Th^m. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

i) Expansion by i^{th} row: $\det(A) = \alpha_{i1}c_{i1} + \dots + \alpha_{in}c_{in} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}c_{ij}$.

ii) Expansion by j^{th} column: $\det(A) = \alpha_{1j}c_{1j} + \dots + \alpha_{nj}c_{nj} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij}c_{ij}$.

Pf.

$$\sum_{\substack{\phi \in S_n \\ \phi(n) = n}} \text{sign}(\phi)\alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n\phi(n)} = \sum_{\phi \in S_{n-1}} \text{sign}(\phi)\alpha_{1\phi(1)} \cdots \alpha_{n-1\phi(n-1)}$$

now $\det(B) = \beta_{nn}N_{nn} = (-1)^{i+j}\alpha_{ij}M_{ij} = \alpha_{ij}c_{ij}$ hence $\det(A) = \sum \alpha_{ij}c_{ij}$ for fixed i or j . QED

Def^m. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Define the adjugate of A , $\text{adj}(A)$, to be the $n \times n$ matrix with $(i, j)^{th}$ element the cofactor c_{ji} . i.e: the transpose of the matrix of cofactors.

Th^m. $A\text{adj}(A) = \det(A)I_n = \text{adj}(A)A$

Pf. $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ $\text{adj}(A) = (c_{ij})$ so $A\text{adj}(A) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}c_{kj}\right)$. If $k \neq i$ $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}c_{kj} = 0$ hence $A\text{adj}(A) = \det(A)I_n$ QED

Cor^{ly}. If $\det(A) \neq 0$ then $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}\text{adj}(A)$.

Change of Basis & Equivalent Matrices

Propⁿ. The change of basis matrix is invertible. i.e: if P is the change of basis matrix from e_i 's to e'_i 's & Q is the change of basis matrix from e'_i 's to e_i 's then $P = Q^{-1}$.

Pf. Consider $I_U : U \rightarrow U \rightarrow U$ where the first & last spaces use the same bases $\implies PQ = I_n \implies P = Q^{-1}$ QED

Propⁿ. $Pv = v'$ where $v = \alpha_1e_1 + \dots + \alpha_n e_n$ & $v' = \beta_1e'_1 + \dots + \beta_n e'_n$.

Pf. Obviousness QED

Th^m. Let $T : U \rightarrow V$ be a linear map e, e' bases of U , f, f' bases of V

$$P = [Id_U]_{e'}^e \quad Q = [Id_V]_{f'}^f \quad A = [T]_f^e \quad B = [T]_{f'}^{e'}$$

Then $B = QAP^{-1}$.

Pf. $e \xrightarrow{P} e' \xrightarrow{B} f'$ hence BP $e \xrightarrow{A} f \xrightarrow{Q} f'$ hence $QA \implies BP = QA$ QED

Def^m. A & B $m \times n$ matrices are equivalent iff there are invertible matrices P $n \times n$ matrix & Q $m \times m$ matrix s.t. $B = QAP$.

Th^m. Let $A \& B$ be $m \times n$ matrices over K the following conditions are equivalent:

i) $A \& B$ are equivalent.

ii) $A \& B$ represent the same linear map wrt. different bases.

iii) $A \& B$ have the same rank.

iv) B can be obtained from A using elementary row & column operations.

Pf. (i) \iff (ii) by the previous Th^m.

(ii) \implies (iii) Since $A \& B$ represent the same linear map $\text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(T) = \text{rank}(B)$.

(iii) \implies (iv) Both $A \& B$ have rank s so can be brought to Smith normal form by elementary row & column operations. i.e: $A \leftrightarrow S.N.F. \leftrightarrow B$

(iv) \implies (i) $B = R_r R_{r-1} \cdots R_1 A C_1 C_2 \cdots C_s$ where R_i & C_i are row & column operation elementary matrices, hence $B = QAP$ QED

Similar Matrices, Eigenvectors & Eigenvalues

Defⁿ. Two $n \times n$ matrices over K are said to be similar if \exists an $n \times n$ matrix P which is invertible with $B = P^{-1}AP$.

Defⁿ. A matrix similar to a diagonal matrix is said to be diagonalisable.

Defⁿ. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map where V is a vector space over K . Suppose $v \in V$ non-zero & some $\lambda \in K$ we have $T(v) = \lambda v$. Then v is called an eigenvector of T & λ an eigenvalue of T corresponding to v .

Defⁿ. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over K . Suppose some non-zero vector v & scalar $\lambda \in K$ satisfy $Av = \lambda v$. Then v is called an eigenvector of A & λ an eigenvalue of A corresponding to v .

Th^m. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then λ is an eigenvalue $\iff \det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$.

Pf. " \implies " $Av = \lambda v$ so $Av = \lambda I_n v$, $(A - \lambda I_n)v = 0$ for a solution $\det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$.

" \impliedby " $\det(A - \lambda I_n) = 0$ then $A - \lambda I_n$ is singular, so has solutions $\implies \exists x$ s.t. $Av = \lambda v$. QED

Defⁿ. For an $n \times n$ matrix A the equation $\det(A - \lambda x) = 0$ is called the characteristic equation of A & $\det(A - \lambda x)$ is called the characteristic polynomial.

Th^m. Similar matrices have the same characteristic equation \mathcal{E} hence the same eigenvalues.

Pf. Let A & B be similar hence $B = P^{-1}AP$ for some P

$$\begin{aligned} \det(B - xI_n) &= \det(P^{-1}AP - xI_n) = \det(P^{-1}(A - xI_n)P) = \det(P^{-1}) \det(A - xI_n) \det(P) \\ &= \det(P^{-1}) \det(P) \det(A - xI_n) = \det(A - xI_n) \end{aligned}$$

QED

Propⁿ. Suppose A is upper triangular, the eigenvalues are just α_{ii} .

Pf. $\det(A - xI_n) = (\alpha_{11} - x) \cdots (\alpha_{nn} - x) = 0$

QED

Th^m. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map, the matrix of T is diagonal wrt. to some basis of $V \iff V$ has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T .

Equivalently: Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over K . Then A is similar to a diagonal matrix $\iff K^n$ has a basis of eigenvectors of A .

Pf. " \implies " Suppose $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ is diagonal wrt. a basis of V hence $T(e_i) = \alpha_{ii}e_i$ so each e_i is an eigenvector.

" \impliedby " Suppose e_i 's are a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors, so $T(e_i) = \lambda e_i$ for some $\lambda_i \in K$ so the matrix $A = (\alpha_{ij})$ with $\alpha_{ii} = \lambda_i$.

Th^m. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be distinct eigenvalues with v_1, \dots, v_r eigenvectors then v_1, \dots, v_r are linearly independent.

Pf. Induction on r .

QED

Cor^{ly}. If A has distinct eigenvalues it is diagonalisable.

Defⁿ. The scalar product of two vectors $v = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ & $w = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ in \mathbb{R}^n is defined to be $v \cdot w = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i$.

Defⁿ. A basis b_1, \dots, b_n is called orthonormal if $b_i \cdot b_i = 1 \forall i$ & $b_i \cdot b_j = 0 \forall i \neq j$.

Defⁿ. An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be symmetric if $A^T = A$.

Defⁿ. An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be orthogonal if $A^T = A^{-1}$ or indeed $AA^T = A^T A = I_n$.

Propⁿ. An $n \times n$ matrix A over \mathbb{R} is orthogonal

\iff the rows r_1, \dots, r_n form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n

\iff the columns c_1, \dots, c_n form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

Pf. Orthogonal matrix A is invertible hence row & column ranks are n & hence the rows & columns form bases. $A^T A = I_n \implies r_i \cdot r_i = 1 \forall i$ & $r_i \cdot r_j = 0 \forall i \neq j$. Similarly for the converse. QED

Propⁿ. Let A be a real symmetric matrix then A has a n eigenvalue in \mathbb{R} & all complex eigenvalues of A are in \mathbb{R} .

Pf. $\det(A - \lambda I_n) \in \mathbb{R}[\lambda]_{deg=n}$ $Av = \lambda v$ & $A\bar{v} = \bar{\lambda}\bar{v}$ (since $\bar{A} = A$). Also, $A^T = A$ so $v^T A^T = v^T A = \lambda v^T$
so $\left. \begin{array}{l} (v^T A)\bar{v} = \lambda v^T \bar{v} \\ v^T(A\bar{v}) = v^T \bar{\lambda}\bar{v} \end{array} \right\} \implies \lambda v^T \bar{v} = \bar{\lambda} v^T \bar{v} \implies (\lambda - \bar{\lambda})v^T \bar{v} = 0$ since $v^T \bar{v} \neq 0 \implies \lambda = \bar{\lambda}$ hence $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

QED

Propⁿ. Let A be a real symmetric matrix & let λ_1, λ_2 be two distinct eigenvalues v_1, v_2 then $v_1 \cdot v_2 = 0$.

Pf. $Av_1 = \lambda_1 v_1$ & $Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$ since $v_1^T A^T = \lambda_1 v_1^T$, $v_1^T Av_2 = \lambda_1 v_1^T v_2$
& similarly $v_2^T Av_1 = \lambda_2 v_2^T v_1 = \lambda_2 v_2^T v_1 \implies v_1^T Av_2 = \lambda_2 v_1^T v_2$
 $\therefore (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)v_1^T v_2 = 0$ since $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 \neq 0$ $v_1 \cdot v_2 = 0$

QED

Th^m. Let A be a real symmetric matrix. \exists a real orthogonal matrix P with $P^{-1}AP = P^T AP$ diagonal.

Pf. (Case of distinct eigenvalues only) $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ eigenvalues $\implies v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ eigenvectors $v_i \cdot v_j = v_i^T v_j = 0$
for $i \neq j$ since $v_i \neq 0$ $v_i \cdot v_i = \alpha_i > 0$ so replacing each v_i with $v_i/\sqrt{\alpha_i}$ we know $v_i \cdot v_i = 1 \forall i$. All the v_i
are independent & form a basis (orthogonal). But $P^{-1}AP$ is the diagonal matrix with entries $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$.

QED